



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/593,876

09/22/2006

Philippe Robert

129533

5467

25944 7590 07/15/2009

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

THOMAS, BRADLEY H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2835

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,876	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROBERT, PHILIPPE	
	<b>Examiner</b> BRADLEY H. THOMAS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2835	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) BRADLEY H. THOMAS. (3) JARRETT SILVER (60239).  
 (2) JAYPRAKASH GANDHI (SPE 2835). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chou et al. (US 2005/0219016), Charvet et al. (US 6,703,916).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner reviewed and discussed proposed amendments, namely to existing independent claim 1 and also new independent claims 11 and 12. Potential amendments were discussed disposing the actuating means to the ends of the flexure arms, which appears to overcome the applied prior art. Applicant agreed to consider the examiner's suggestions for the next amendment. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/B. H. T./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2835

/Jayprakash N Gandhi/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2835